

Although my career as an Architect started in a fast-growing and major city like Dhaka, I had to leave Dhaka for environmental reasons, and I had to think about alternatives, leaving behind a better future, I come to the village. This was not given me Economical Development but gave me good environment for living. It is true that there is a huge difference between city and village. At the root of this difference may be the economic condition, the demand and the ratio of receipts to opportunity. Of course, there are specific reasons why people are going to city, but how reasonable is the idea that all kinds of solutions are available in the city?

I am thinking about this.

Does the village mean only negligence? I want to know. It's true that some people are always downtown, and some people never want to leave the village. These two types of people are, however, adapted to the environment around them, and they regard this environment as their own, but the problem is for those who, for many reasons, have to move to the city or village. In the simplest case, perhaps the main reason for this is the difference between city and village, the ratio of demand and receipt.

The lower the ratio of demand and receipt, the lower the rate of migration of people. Because people always want its favorable environment, whether it be economic or education, health or environment, whatever the case.

As an architect, I think, People can change themselves if they want, but changing the environment around them is not easy. For this maybe we need to know what people want and then how the surrounding environment supports it, and we also have to look at what we want in an environment, I think this whole system actually runs in a proportional rate. We often see many ways to improve villages or small towns, but in many cases, it seems to be of no use later on. Perhaps this is because of a lack of experience or research. Without knowing what an environment wants, what support can be given to it, we just want to copy a city like another city, and then it come no result.

I think we have to do something in our society by using Architecture and planning to take some good steps, like sustainability, which can become a good example for the future. By founding the strength or weaknesses of this city can be help to take a step for changing the condition for this way, the demand and attainment ratio of the people will be reduced to some extent. In this way it can be point out the problem of other society, village, city or a country. That will keep some good study for future urbanization and we can get a sustainable living place. Hopefully studying Sustainable Architecture and urban Planning will bring out the best in a variety of ideas thought-provoking experiences. Although I am a freshman, I would like to take something better out of here by getting knowledge and sharing some experiences.

I think a study of sustainable Architecture can help me to understand what we need to do for a sustainable lifestyle and I think this experience is worth for the future.